Identifying Design Principles

Maneesh Agrawala Jessica Hullman

CS 294-10: Visualization Fall 2014



Final project

Design new visualization method

Pose problem, Implement creative solution

Deliverables

- Implementation of solution
- **8**-12 page paper in format of conference paper submission
- 1 or 2 design discussion presentations

Schedule

- Project proposal: 10/27
- Project presentation: 11/10, 11/12
- Final paper and presentation: TBD, likely 12/1-12/5

Grading

- Groups of up to 3 people, graded individually
- Clearly report responsibilities of each member







Approaches

Direct rule-based methods Constraint satisfaction Optimization Example-based methods

Direct Rule-Based Methods











<section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><list-item><list-item><list-item>

Pros and cons

Pros

- Designed to run extremely quickly
- Simple layout algorithms are easy to code

Cons

Complex layouts require large rule bases with lots of special cases





Constraints as linear equationsrectD: cc2.top = rect1.top + rect1.height + 10rectC: cc2.top = rect1.top + rect1.heightrectD: cc2.top = rect2.top + rect2.heightOcase of the operationsC: cc2.top = rect2.top + rect2.height0. each constraint has 1 output variableD: constraint has 1 output variable0. each constraint has 1 output variableD: constraint can be written so that any variable is output0. each constraint can be written so that any variable is outputD: constraint can be written so that any variable is output0. each constraint can be written so that any variable is outputD: constraint can be written so that any variable is output0. each constraint can be written so that any variable is outputD: constraint can be written so that any variable is output0. each constraint can be written so that any variable is outputD: constraint can be written so that any variable is output0. each constraint can be written so that any variable is outputD: constraint can be written so that any variable is output0. each constraint can be written so that any variable is outputD: constraint can be written so that any variable is output0. each constraint can be written so that any variable is outputD: constraint can be written so that any variable is output0. each constraint can be written so that any variable is outputD: constraint can be written so that any variable is output0. each constraint can be written so that any variable is outputD: constraint can be written so that any variable is output0. each constraint can be written so that any variable is outputD: constraint can be w









Adaptive Grid~Based Document Layout

CHUCK JACOBS¹ WILMOT LI² EVAN SCHRIER² DAVID BARGERON¹ DAVID SALESIN^{1,2}

¹MICROSOFT RESEARCH ²UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

Pros and cons

Pros

- Often run fast (at least one-way constraints)
- Constraint solving systems are available online
- Can be easier to specify relative layout constraints than to code direct layout algorithm

Cons

- Easy to over-constrain the problem
- Constraint solving systems can only solve some types of layout problems
- Difficult to encode desired layout in terms of mathematical constraints





Layout as optimization

Scene description

- **Geometry:** polygons, bounding boxes, lines, points, etc.
- **Layout parameters:** position, orientation, scale, color, etc.

Large design space of possible layouts

To use optimization we will specify ...

- Initialize/Perturb functions: Form a layout
- **Penalty function:** Evaluate quality of layout
- .. and find layout that minimizes penalty

Optimization algorithms

There are lots of them:

line search, Newton' s method, A*, tabu, gradient descent, conjugate gradient, linear programming, quadratic programming, simulated annealing, ...

Differences

- Speed
- Memory
- Properties of the solution
- Requirements

Simulated annealing

<pre>currL ← Initialize() while(! termination condition)</pre>	 [–] Form initial layout
<i>newL</i> ← Perturb(<i>currL</i>)	 Perturb to form new layout
<i>currE ←</i> Penalty(<i>currL</i>) <i>newE ←</i> Penalty(<i>newL</i>)	≓ Evaluate quality of layouts
if((newE < currE) or	 - Always accept lower penalty
(rand[0,1) < e^₄E/¹)) then currL ← newL Decrease(T)	 Small probability of accepting higher penalty

Perturb: Efficiently cover layout design space **Penalty:** Describes desirable/undesirable layout features





























Pros and cons

Pros

Much more flexible than linear constraint solving systems

Cons

- Can be relatively slow to converge
- Need to set penalty function parameters (weights)
- Difficult to encode desired layout in terms of mathematical penalty functions

























Pros and cons

Pros

Often much easier to specify desired layout via examples

Cons

- Usually requires underlying model
- Model will constrain types of layouts possible
- Large design spaces likely to require lots of examples to learn parameters well





















Map Design via Optimization

Set of graphic elements

Roads, labels, cross-streets, ...

Choose visual attributes

- Position, orientation, size, ...
- Distortions increase flexibility

Develop constraints based on design principles

Simulated annealing

- Perturb: Form a layout
- Score: Evaluate quality
- Minimize score



