Crowdsourcing Visual Analysis

Maneesh Agrawala Jessica Hullman

CS 294-10: Visualization Fall 2014









The Impact of Social Information on Visual Judgments





Social Influence

Normative versus Informational (fitting in) (being correct)

Using social signals as evidence when we're choosing:

- Restaurants
- Music (Salganik et al. 2009)
- Tags (Golder et al. 2007)

Controlled Experiment

Does information on others' graphical judgments impact the accuracy of a new person's judgment?

Two conditions:

- <u>Non-social</u>: Visual judgment task with no social information
- <u>Social</u>: Same task, presented with summary of judgments from non-social group

Participants are incentivized (\$\$) to be correct.









Multiple issues when collaborative analysis remains shallow.

Can we augment social data analysis to support deeper analysis and synthesis?

CommentSpace: Structured Support for Social Data Analysis





Hypothesis generation/evidence gathering





Studies and Deployments



Controlled lab studies to test core analysis subtasks

Live deployments (www.commentspace.net)

Study: Use of Tags and Links

Hypothesis: Tags and links can provide common ground and encourage continued discussion. A between subjects study (n=24) with 2 conditions. "No-Tag" Condition "Tag" Condition Search Tagged As + Comment All Comments Current View Friends Only Linked As Cotton really doesn't change much from year to All Comments Current View Friends Only year. Cotton really doesn't change much from year to year -<u>Clay B.</u> Fri Aug 21 2009 🔻 🥜 🔽 reply 🗸 See 2005. -Clay B. Fri Aug 21 2009 -<u>Clay B.</u> Fri Aug 21 2009 🔻 📎 🥜 reply 🗸 E ..and 2008. Cotton exports across all states are very See 2005. -<u>Clay B.</u> Fri Aug 21 2009 similar across all of these years. -<u>Clay B.</u> Fri Aug 21 2009 Part 1: Sensemaking from existing comments (classify given hypothesis) Part 2: Find views and generate comments.



Study: Results

Participants who used tags and links classified comments more consistently and accurately than those who didn't (greater in-group agreement) (greater agreement with experts)

Participants using tags and links generated significantly more replies to existing comments Tag (Median=7)

No-Tag (Median=2)





Announcements

Final project

Design new visualization method

Pose problem, Implement creative solution

Deliverables

- Implementation of solution
- **8**-12 page paper in format of conference paper submission
- **1** or 2 design discussion presentations

Schedule

- Project proposal: 10/28
- Project presentation: 11/13-11/20
- Final paper and presentation: 12/2-12/6

Grading

- Groups of up to 3 people, graded individually
- Clearly report responsibilities of each member



Can we use paid crowds to perform small pieces of analysis tasks?

























Problems

Expectations may be unclear to workers.

Workers may explain irrelevant features.

Workers' may give speculative explanations.

Workers may not attend to chart details.

S1. Use feature-oriented prompts.

"Explain why the chart is interesting."

"Explain the **long term trend** in the chart."

"Explain the **peaks and/or valleys** in the chart (if any exist)."













Deployment via Mechanical Turk

910 Responses for64 Charts from16 Datasets

Quantifying Response Quality

relevance (0-1) Does the response explain the requested feature?*clarity (1-5)* How clear and specific is the explanation?*plausibility (1-5)* How likely is the explanation to be valid?

quality = *relevance* * (*clarity* + *plausibility*) / 2

Yes.	The response explains ${f why}$ the peaks and valleys may have occurred.
Does this response provide an explanation for \boldsymbol{wh}	y the highlighted peaks and valleys in the chart might have occurred? $\mathbf{QYes} \bigcirc \mathbf{No}$
How clear and specific is the response?	(Not Clear/Specific) $\leftarrow \bigcirc 1 \bigcirc 2 \bigcirc 3 \odot 4 \bigcirc 5 \rightarrow$ (Very Clear/Specific)
How plausible is the response?	(Not Plausible) $\leftarrow \bigcirc 1 \bigcirc 2 \odot 3 \bigcirc 4 \bigcirc 5 \rightarrow$ (Very Plausible)

Experts scored response quality this way.

































<section-header><text></text></section-header>	What are the workers doing?
--	--------------------------------




























































