



Phases of the Tides





Figure 1.9. Cotidal chart. Tide phases relative to Greenwich are plotted for all the world's oceans. Phase progresses from red to orange to yellow to green to blue to purple. The lines converge on anphidromic points, singularities on the earth's surface where there is no defined tide. [Winfree, 1987 #1195, p. 17].



















			st powerful brain?							
1993	licros	oft Excel - animal.xls			<u> </u>	1				
; C1	Ele	Edit View Insert Format	Tools Data W	indow <u>H</u> elp	_ 8 ×					
	A1	- ∱ ID								
	A	В	C	D	E					
1	ID	Name	Body Weight	Brain Weight						
2	1	Lesser Short-tailed Shrew	5	0.14						
3	2	Little Brown Bat	10	0.25						
4	3	Mouse	23	0.3						
5	4	Big Brown Bat	23	0.4						
6	5	Musk Shrew	48	0.33						
7	6	Star Nosed Mole	60	1						
8	7	Eastern American Mole	75	1.2						
9	8	Ground Squirrel	101	4						
10	9	Tree Shrew	104	2.5						
11	10	Golden Hamster	120	1	_					
12	11	Mole Rate	122	3						
13	12	Galago	200	5						
14	13	Rat	280	1.9						
15	14	Chinchilla	425	6.4						
16	15	Desert Hedgehog	550	2.4						
17	16	Rock Hyrax (a)	750	12.3						
18	17	European Hedgehog	785	3.5						
19	18	Tenrec	900	2.6						
20	19	Arctic Ground Squirrel	920	5.7						
21	20	African Giant Pouched Rat	1000	6.6						
22	21	Guinea Pig	1040	5.5						
23	22	Mountain Beaver	1350	8.1						
24	23	Slow Loris	1400	12.5						
25	24	Genet	1410	17.5						
26	25	Phalanger	1620	11.4	-					
H I		animal	1							

































Semantic zooming

Change visual representations as zoom level changes





















distortion, bottom row from distortion to context.































Summary

- Spatial layout is the most important visual encoding
- Geometric properties of spatial transforms support geometric reasoning
- Show data with as much resolution as possible
- Use distortions to emphasize important information

Announcements

Final project

Design new visualization method

Pose problem, Implement creative solution

Deliverables

- Implementation of solution
- **8**-12 page paper in format of conference paper submission
- 1 or 2 design discussion presentations

Schedule

- Project proposal: 10/27
- Project presentation: 11/10, 11/12
- Final paper and presentation: TBD, likely 12/1-12/5

Grading

- Groups of up to 3 people, graded individually
- Clearly report responsibilities of each member



<section-header><section-header><section-header><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><table-row>

Approaches

Direct rule-based methods Constraint satisfaction Optimization Example-based methods









Dynamic space management [Bell 00] Manage free space on desktop to prevent window overlap

Dynamic space management [Bell 00]

Goal: Place new elements to avoid overlap

- Elements are axis-aligned rectangles
- Keep track of largest empty space rectangles





Pros

- Designed to run extremely quickly
- Simple layout algorithms are easy to code

Cons

Complex layouts require large rule bases with lots of special cases







More complicated to maintain









Adaptive Grid~Based Document Layout Chuck Jacobs¹ wilmot Li² evan schrier² David Bargeron¹ david salesin^{1,2}

Pros

- Often run fast (at least one-way constraints)
- Constraint solving systems are available online
- Can be easier to specify relative layout constraints than to code direct layout algorithm

Cons

- Easy to over-constrain the problem
- Constraint solving systems can only solve some types of layout problems
- Difficult to encode desired layout in terms of mathematical constraints





Layout as optimization

Scene description

- **Geometry:** polygons, bounding boxes, lines, points, etc.
- **Layout parameters:** position, orientation, scale, color, etc.

Large design space of possible layouts

To use optimization we will specify ...

- **Initialize/Perturb functions:** Form a layout
- **Penalty function:** Evaluate quality of layout
- .. and find layout that minimizes penalty

Optimization algorithms

There are lots of them:

line search, Newton's method, A*, tabu, gradient descent, conjugate gradient, linear programming, quadratic programming, simulated annealing, ...

Differences

- Speed
- Memory
- Properties of the solution
- Requirements

Simulated annealing



Penalty: Describes desirable/undesirable layout features





























Pros

Much more flexible than linear constraint solving systems

Cons

- Can be relatively slow to converge
- Need to set penalty function parameters (weights)
- Difficult to encode desired layout in terms of mathematical penalty functions

Design principles

Sometimes specified in design books

- Tufte, Few, photography manuals, cartography books ...
- Often specified at a high level
- Challenge is to transform principles into constraints or penalties

			Kolik Andre Stationen, Sie Different Kolik Andre Stationen, Sie Different Kolik K
0.400	0.000	x0.470	Windowski w State
0.575	0.150		Terrender Versionen 198 All State St
⊙ Gump_City	0.800	0.825 0.875	
			The second contract of the second contract oo the second contract oothere of the second con

Cartographer Eduard Imhof's labeling heurists transformed into penalty functions for an optimization based point labeling system [Edmondson 97]



Preference elicitation [Gajos and Weld 05]

Learn characteristics of good designs

- Generate designs based on a parameterized design space
- Ask designers if they are good or bad
- Learn good parameters values based on responses





















Pros

Often much easier to specify desired layout via examples

Cons

- Usually requires underlying model
- Model will constrain types of layouts possible
- Large design spaces likely to require lots of examples to learn parameters well