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Review: Event Dispatch Loop

Event Queue
  • Queue of input events

Event Loop (runs in dedicated thread)
  • Remove next event from queue
  • Determine event type
  • Find proper component(s)
  • Invoke callbacks on components
  • Repeat, or wait until event arrives

Component
  • Invoked callback method
  • Update application state
  • Request repaint, if needed

Individual Programming Assignment (due Mar 2)

Design and Implementation Components
  – Sketches of 3 alternatives, pick a favorite
  – “Discount” user studies in section (Feb 25-26)
  – Write up what you learned from the study
  – Note how you changed your interface as a result
  – Implement user interface
Individual Programming Assignment  
(due Mar 2)

Project Management/To-Do List
Tasks have the following properties:
• Task Name
• Percentage Completed (0-100%)
• Start and End date
• Priority
• List of people assigned to the task
• URL related to the task

Checklist view
• Include checkbox to automatically set completion percentage to 100%
• You should be able to see the completion percentage

Timeline view


---

Topics

• Model View Controller
• Paper Prototyping
• Video Prototyping
• Wizard of Oz prototype testing
Model-View-Controller Architecture

Architecture for interactive apps
– introduced by Smalltalk developers at PARC

Partitions application in a way that is
– scalable
– maintainable
Example Application
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Model

Information the app is manipulating

Representation of real world objects
– circuit for a CAD program
  • logic gates and wires connecting them
– shapes in a drawing program
  • geometry and color
View

Implements a visual display of the model

May have multiple views
– e.g., shape view and numerical view

Multiple Views

- Blue circles: 4
- Cardinal squares: 2
**View**

- Implements a visual display of the model
- May have multiple views
  - e.g., shape view and numerical view
- Any time model changes each view must be notified so it can update
  - e.g., adding a new shape

---

**Controller**

- Receives all input events from the user
- Decides what events mean and what to do
  - communicates with view to determine the objects being manipulated (e.g., selection)
  - calls model methods to make changes on objects
    - model makes change and notifies views to update
Controller
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Controller
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Click!

Controller

Blue circles: 4
Cardinal squares: 2
Relationship of View & Controller

“pattern of behavior in response to user events (controller issues) is independent of visual geometry (view issues)” – Olsen, Chapter 5.2

But controller must usually contact view to interpret what user events mean (e.g., selection)
Combining View & Controller

View and controller are tightly intertwined
– lots of communication between the two

Almost always occur in pairs
– i.e., for each view, need a separate controller

Many architectures combine into a single class

Why MVC?
Why MVC?

Combining MVC into one class will not scale
– model may have more than one view
  • each is different and needs update when model changes

Separation eases maintenance and extensibility
– easy to add a new view later
– model info can be extended, but old views still work
– can change a view later, e.g., draw shapes in 3-d (recall, view handles selection)
– flexibility of changing input handling when using separate controllers

Adding Views Later
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Changing the Display

How do we redraw when shape moves?

Moving Cardinal Square
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Erase w/ Background Color and Redraw
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Changing the Display

Erase and redraw
– using background color to erase fails
– drawing shape in new position loses ordering

Move in model and then redraw view
– change position of shapes in model
– model keeps shapes in a desired order
– tell all views to redraw themselves in order
– slow for large / complex drawings
  • flashing! (can solve w/ double buffering)
Damage / Redraw Method

View informs windowing system of areas that are damaged
  – does not redraw them right away…

Windowing system
  – batches updates
  – clips them to visible portions of window

Next time waiting for input
  – windowing system calls Repaint method
    • passes region that needs to be updated

Damage old, Change position in model, Damage new
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Dragging at Interactive Speeds

Damage old, move, damage new method may be too slow
- must take less than ~100 ms to be smooth

Solutions
- don’t draw object, draw an outline (cartoon)
  - use XOR to erase fast (problems w/ color)
- save portion of frame buffer before dragging
  - draw bitmap rather than redraw the component

modern hardware often alleviates the problem

Summary

Event-Driven Interfaces
- Hierarchy of components or widgets
- Input events dispatched to components
- Components process events with callback methods

Model-View-Controller
- Break up a component into
  - Model of the data backing the widget(s)
  - View determining the look of the widget
  - Controller for handling input events
- Provides scalability and extensibility
Looking Forward

Containment hierarchy model is now over 20 years old, designed in a context of significantly less processing and graphics power.

Dominant model in use today, and still quite useful, but in many cases limiting.

Limitations:
- Assumes rectangular components
- Limited support for animation
- Level of extensibility (varies by toolkit)

Suitability for next-generation interfaces?

---

Paper Prototyping
Why Do We Prototype?

Get feedback on our design faster
- saves money
Experiment with alternative designs
Fix problems before code is written
Keep the design centered on the user

Fidelity in Prototyping

Fidelity refers to the level of detail
High fidelity
- Prototypes look like the final product
Low fidelity
- Artists renditions with many details missing
Hi-Fi Disadvantages

Distort perceptions of the tester
- Formal representation indicates “finished” nature
- People comment on color, fonts, and alignment

Discourages major changes
- Testers don’t want to change a “finished” design
- Designers don’t want to lose effort put into creating hi-fi design
Materials

Large, heavy, white paper (11 x 17)
5x8 in. index cards
Post-it notes
Tape, stick glue, correction tape
Pens & markers (colors & sizes)
Transparencies (including colored)
Colorforms (toy stores)
Scissors, X-acto knives, etc.
Welcome to ESP.
Your Telebears session is Tues. Sept. 21 @ 10am
Your current schedule is empty. Please click on Add a course to continue.

Help - Add Menu
- Select department from drop down menu
- Enter course number
  If you don't know course number, press SEARCH
  button
- Press add to complete transaction
- Click cancel to add transaction

CLOSE

Search if you don't know the course number.

HELP
Interface Elements

Constructing the Prototype

Set a deadline
  – Don’t think too long - build it!

Draw a window frame on large paper
  – **Draw at a large size, but use correct aspect ratio**

Put different screen regions on cards
  – Anything that moves, changes, appears/disappears
  – Use greek-ing to indicate text if necessary

Ready response for any user action
  – e.g., Have those pull-down menus already made

Use photocopier to make many versions
Video Prototyping

Video Brainstorming

Participants act ideas out in front of a video camera
Goal is to create as many new ideas as possible
- each should take 2-5 minutes to generate & capture
- run standard brainstorming session first for ideas

Advantages
- video easier to understand later than notes
- participants actively experience interaction & preserve record of the idea

Video brainstorming of an animated character in Prototyping Tools & Techniques by Beaudouin-Lafon & Mackay. Character follows user with its eyes.
Forms of Video Prototypes

May build on paper prototypes or use existing software & images of real settings

Narration optional
- narrator explains events & others move images/illustrate interaction
- actors perform movements & viewer expected to understand w/o voice-over

With good storyboards, should be able to create video prototype in 1 hour

Creating a Video Prototype

1) Review field data about users & work practices
2) Review ideas from video brainstorm
3) Create use scenario in words
4) Develop storyboard of each action/event w/ annotations explaining what is happening in scene. Put each element on a card.
Storyboard

Creating a Video Prototype

1) Review field data about users & work practices
2) Review ideas from video brainstorm
3) Create use scenario in words
4) Develop storyboard of each action/event w/ annotations explaining what is happening in scene. Put each element on a card.

5) Shoot a video clip for each storyboard card
   • avoid editing in the camera – just shoot in storyboard order
   • hold last frame of a section/shot for 1s

6) Use title cards to separate clips (keep it onscreen for 3s)
   • if you make an error, rewind to last title card & reshoot
Video Prototyping

- Illustrate how users will interact w/ system
- Unlike brainstorming, video prototyping contracts design space
- Quick to build
- Inexpensive

- Better illustrates context of use

Example Videos

Univ. of Washington
- Cluster: Andy Hou & Kevin Chiu
- Don’t Forget: Chris Govella & Peter Woodman
- Don’t Forget 2: Carolyn Holmes & Fred Potter

Stanford
- Energy Usage Information: Lisa Seeman
**Tips & Tricks**

Add structure to better explain context
- begin with a title
- follow with an “establishing shot”
- create series of closeup & mid-range shots, interspersed with title cards to tell the story
- place a final card with credits at the end

Use colored paper for title cards to make easy to find when editing/searching video

“Time-lapse photography” lets images appear & disappear based on user interaction
- e.g., illustrate pop-up menu by recording clip of user pressing button, pause camera, add menu, restart camera

Be careful about taking video out of the original design setting for ethical reasons (context matters)

---

**Stop Motion Example**

[Music Player: Nicholas Kong](#)
Higher Fidelity Videos

- WineM
- Cell Phone Prototypes
Wizard of Oz Prototype Testing

SIMS 213 Project: Telebears redesign

User

Observer (or video camera)

"Computer"

Interface elements

Interface
Conducting a Test

Three or Four testers (preferable)
- **Greeter** - Puts users at ease & gets data
- **Facilitator** - only team member who speaks
  - Gives instructions & encourages thoughts, opinions
- **Computer** - knows application logic & controls it
  - Always simulates the response, w/o explanation
- **Observer(s)** - Take notes & recommendations

Typical session should be approximately 1 hour
- Preparation, the test, debriefing

Conducting a Test (cont.)

Greet
- Get forms filled, assure confidentiality, etc.

Test
- Facilitator explains how test will work
  - Performs a simple task
- Facilitator hands written tasks to the user
  - Must be clear & detailed
- **Facilitator keeps getting “output” from participant**
  - “What are you thinking right now?”, “Think aloud”
- **Observers record what happens**
  - Avoid strong reactions; frowning, laughing, impatience – biases the test
- **Designers should not lead participants**
  - Let users figure things out themselves as much as possible
  - Only answer questions if user remains stuck for a long time
Conducting a Test (cont.)

Debrief
  – Fill out post-evaluation questionnaire
  – Ask questions about parts you saw problems on
  – Gather impressions
  – Give thanks

Preparing for a Test

Select your participants
  – Understand background of intended users
  – Use a questionnaire to get the people you need
  – Don’t use friends or family

Prepare scenarios that are
  – Typical of the product during actual use
  – Make prototype support these (small, yet broad)

Practice running the computer to avoid “bugs”
  – You need every menu and dialog for the tasks
  – All widgets the user might press
    • Remember “help” and “cancel” buttons

WOZ is different from pre-built “canned” functionality
Wizard of Oz Tips

Rehearse your actions
- For a complicated UI, make a flowchart which is hidden from the user
- Make list of legal words for a speech interface

Stay “in role”
- You are a computer, and have no common sense, or ability to understand spoken English.

Facilitator can remind user of the rules/think-aloud approach if the user gets stuck

Record Critical Incidents

Critical incidents are unusual or interesting events during the study.

Most of them are usability problems.

They may also be moments when the user:
  - got stuck, or
  - suddenly understood something
  - said “that’s cool” etc.
Using the Results

Update task analysis and rethink design
  – Rate severity & ease of fixing problems
  – Fix both severe problems & make the easy fixes

Will thinking aloud give the right answers?
  – Not always
  – If you ask a question, people will always give an answer, even if it is has nothing to do with the facts
  – Try to avoid leading questions